

## **PRINTING OPERATIONS**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 1170390 DATE: 1/19/12 ARRIVE: 11:48 DEPART: 12:18  FACILITY NAME: PROGRESSIVE COMMUNICATIONS INC
FACILITY LOCATION: 1001 SAND POND RD  LAKE MARY 32746-3354
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GABRIEL HERNANDEZ  Email: ghernandez@progressivecommunications.com  CONTACT NAME: GABRIEL HERNANDEZ  Email: ghernandez@progressivecommunications.com  Email: ghernandez@progressivecommunications.com  ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/10/2011 / 12/10/2016 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.  (check
PART II: ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

GENERIC EMISSIONS UNIT EXEMPTION CRITERIA - Rule 62-210.300 (3) (b)1., F.A.C.	
1. Is the facility subject to any unit-specific applicable requirement?;	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
2. Does this facility emit or have the potential to emit:	
(i) 500 pounds per year or more of lead and lead compounds expressed as lead?;	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
(ii) 1000 pounds per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?;	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
(iii) 2,500 pounds per year or more of total hazardous air pollutants?; <b>or</b>	
(iv) 5.0 tons per year or more of any other regulated pollutasnt?	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
GENERIC FACILITY EXEMPTION CRITERIA - Rule 62-210.300 (3) (b)2., F.A.C.	
1. Is the facility subject to any unit-specific applicable requirement?;	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
2. Does this facility emit or have the potential to emit:	
(i) 1000 pounds per year or more of lead and lead compounds expressed as lead?;	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
(ii) 1.0 ton per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?;	
(iii) 2.5 tons per year or more of total hazardous air pollutants?;	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
(iv) 25 tons per year or more of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide?; or	☐Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
(v) 10 tons per year or more of any other regulated pollutant?	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
PART III: AIR GENERAL PERMITS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.	
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es))	
GENERAL PROCEDURES - Determination of Eligibility - Rule 62-210.310(2)(a)1. and 2., F.A.1. Does this facility emit or have the potential to emit:	1.C.
a) ten (10) tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?;	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
b) twenty-five (25) tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?; or-	☐Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
c) one hundred (100) tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
2. Has this facility:	
a) been collocated with, or relocated to such a facility as described in question #1. a), b), or	
c) above?;	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
b) created such a facility in combination with any other collocated facilities, emission units, or	
pollutant-emitting activities, including any such facility, emission unit, or activity that is other	
exempt from air permitting?	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
3. Does this facility contain:	
a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit with the exce	
of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.30 or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.?;	
b) any emission units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air	
general permit and the air general permit of interest specifically allow the use of one another	
at the same facility?	- □Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
·	
GENERAL PROCEDURES - Initial Registration/Re-registration - Rule 62-210.310(2)(b), F.A	
1. Has the owner or operator of this facility completed and submitted the proper registration form t	
Department for the specific air general permit to be used?;	
<ul><li>2. Does this facility have a current valid air general permit (entitlement to operate)?;</li><li>3. Has there been a change of ownership of all or part of the facility?;</li></ul>	
4. Have there been any new administrative, construction, modification, or equipment changes that	
a re-registration?	
PART III: AIR GENERAL PERMITS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (continued)	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
GENERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C.	
1. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the	
facility?;	
the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	weu
devices?;	- □Yes ☒ No □ N/A
3. Does the owner or operator:	
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with	

2 of 4 Revised 09/14/07

terms and conditions of the air general permit?;  4. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Depa	
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the	
permit and Department rules?	
PART IV: <u>SPECIFIC</u> <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING CRITERIA</u> – Rule (check  appropriate box(es))	62-210.310(4)(f), F.A.C.
SPECIFIC CONDITIONAL EXEMPTION REQUIREMENTS FROM TITLE V AIR  1. Does the facility have any other air general permits?;	<u>PERMITTING</u> □Yes □ No □ N/A
2. Is this printing operation subject to any unit-specific applicable requirement?;	
Answer questions 3. a), b), & c), and 4. below if the facility uses the <u>mass balance appro</u> If the <u>materials usage limitation approach</u> is used, skip questions 3. and 4. below an	
Mass Balance Approach	_
3. Does the facility emit:	
a)eighty (80) tons or more of VOC's?;	
b)eight (8) tons or more of any individual HAP?;c)or twenty (20) tons or more of any combination of HAP's in any consecutive twelv	
months?;	
4. Does the facility rely upon add-on controls to meet any of the above limitations in a), b),	
Materials Usage Limitation Approach	
5. In any consecutive twelve (12) months, does the facility use less than:	
a)thirteen hundred and thirty-three (1,333) gallons of materials containing hazardous pollutants (HAP's)?;	air 
and (choose only one category below, I thru VI, or VII).	
IOperate only <b>heatset</b> offset lithographic printing lines and use less than 100,000	pounds of ink.
cleaning solvent, and fountain solution additives combined?;	
IIOperate only <b>non-heatset offset lithographic printing</b> lines and use less than 14,2	
cleaning solvent and fountain solution additives combined?;	
solutions and other solvent-containing materials combined?;	
IVOperate only <u>screen</u> or <u>letterpress printing</u> lines and use less than 14,250 gallons	
inks, clean-up solutions and other solvent-containing materials combined?;	LYes LNO LN/A
PART IV: SPECIFIC CONTROL/OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING CRITERIA – Rule (check  appropriate box(es))	62-210.310(4)(f), F.A.C.
	DEDMITTING (continued)
SPECIFIC CONDITIONAL EXEMPTION REQUIREMENTS FROM TITLE Y AIR	<u>r Exivit i ting</u> (continuea)
V Operate only water-based or ultraviolet-cured material flexographic or rotogravure	· · ·
and use less than 400,000 pounds of water-based inks, coatings and adhesives, com VIOperate only solvent-based material flexographic or rotogravure printing lines and	
than 100,000 pounds of inks, dilution solvents, coatings, cleaning solutions and adh	
combined?;	
Or;	igital saraan or lattarnress
VII Operate any combination of heatset lithographic, non-heatset lithographic, di rotogravure or flexographic printing lines and use no more than the most stringent of	
contained in sub-sub-subparagraphs 62-210.310(4)(f)2.b.(I) through (VI), F.A.C., for	or the type of printing lines at the
facility. For purposes of determining which limit is the most stringent, the pounds of	of materials used for heatset offset
lithographic lines and flexographic lines shall be converted to the equivalent gallon gallon and shall be compared with the limits for non-heatset offset lithographic, dig	
applicable, for the type of printing lines at the facility. The most stringent limit shal	
containing material used?;	

3 of 4 Revised 09/14/07

(Refer to the chart & information below to identify the Printing Process combination(s) and to determine the most stringent limit for the combination(s) chosen.)

	PRINTING PROCESS	INDIVIDUAL PROCESS LIMITS (IPL)	STRINGENT LIMITS FOR COMBINATIONS (SLC)  (SLC = IPL* ÷ 8.5 lbs/gal.**)
#1	Heatset Offset Lithographic	100,000 lbs.*	11,765 gals.**
#2	Non-heatset Offset Lithographic	14,250 gals.	14,250 gals
#3	Digital	12,100 gals.	12,100 gals.
#4	Screen or Letterpress	14,250 gals.	14,250 gals
#5	Water-based or UV cured Rotogravure or Flexographic	400,000 lbs.*	47,059 gals.**
#6	Solvent-based Rotogravure or Flexographic	100,000 lbs*	11,765 gals**

(<u>Example</u>: If you were a printer and your combination printing processes included both <u>Printing Process</u> numbers **two** (2) and **five** (5), then the most stringent limit shall apply to the total of all solvent-containing material used. In this example, the individual <u>Stringent Limit for Combinations</u> (<u>SLC</u>) for each process is 14,250 gals. and 47,059 gals., respectively. Therefore, the most stringent limit for this combination would be 14, 250 gals.)

6. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)						
Wanda Parker-Garvin	1/19/12					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
Wanda Parker Lawin						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					

**COMMENTS:** Wanda Parker-Garvin made contact with Mr. Dave Reynolds, PCI Maintenance Coordinator. Ms. Parker-Garvin informed Mr. Reynolds that she was there to conduct a compliance inspction. Mr. Reynolds provided the monthly air emissions calculations speadsheet for Ms. Parker-Garvin to review. He stated the company had gotten rid of two of their printing machines and lowered their emission in the process. He also stated the facility did not have any on site generators. Mr. Reynolds accompanied Ms. Parker-Garvin on a walk-through of the facility. The press room and pre-press rooms including all the printing press equipment listed in the GP application and were in good working condition.